

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

**Front-line window therapy with cisplatin in patients with primary disseminated Ewing sarcoma: A study by the Associazione Italiana di Ematologia ed Oncologia Pediatrica and Italian Sarcoma Group**

**This is a pre print version of the following article:**

*Original Citation:*

*Availability:*

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1644874> since 2020-04-14T16:25:24Z

*Published version:*

DOI:10.1002/pbc.26650

*Terms of use:*

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

***Title:***

Front-line window therapy with cisplatinum in patients with primary disseminated Ewing sarcoma: a study of Associazione Italiana di Ematologia ed Oncologia Pediatrica and Italian Sarcoma Group.

***Authors:***

Roberto Luksch,  
Giovanni Grignani,  
Paolo D'Angelo,  
Arcangelo Prete,  
Nadia Puma,  
Marta Podda,  
Michela Casanova,  
Carlo Morosi,  
Franca Fagioli,  
Massimo Aglietta,  
Stefano Ferrari,  
Piero Picci,  
Maura Massimino.

***Affiliations***

Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori  
Candiolo...  
Palermo...  
S. Orsola...  
Città scienza e salute TO...  
IOR...

***Corresponding author:***

***Running title:***

cisplatinum in ewing sarcoma

***Key words:***

cisdiaminoplatinum, Ewing, metastatic

***Achnowledgements:***

Associazione Il sogno di Ale ONLUS, Associazione Bianca Garavaglia ONLUS, altre...

## ***Abstract***

Patients with Ewing sarcoma metastatic at skeleton and/or viscera at onset (primary disseminated) have a dismal prognosis and new treatments are urgently needed. The aim of the present prospective phase II study was to evaluate the activity of cis-diamino-platinum 120 mg/sqm every 3 weeks for 2 courses as front-line window therapy in a cohort of children and young adults with primary disseminated Ewing sarcoma. Twelve consecutive patients were enrolled in the stage 1 according to a Simon two-stage design, and one objective response according to RECIST Criteria was observed, and therefore the statistical target of response rate  $> 8\%$  was not achieved. For this reason, the accrual was stopped and CDDP as single-agent was not considered for further evaluation in primary disseminated Ewing sarcoma.

## **Introduction**

The prognosis for with Ewing sarcoma metastatic at skeleton and/or viscera at onset (primary disseminated) remains dismal (1-4). Standard chemotherapy for Ewing sarcoma (ES) include different combinations of vincristine, cyclophosphamide, ifosfamide, etoposide, doxorubicin and actinomycin-D (5). Recently, topotecan, irinotecan and temozolomide were included in ongoing front-line clinical trials due to the promising activity of these drugs in phase I-II studies in relapsed/refractory ES (6-10).

Cis-diamino-platinum (CDDP) was studied in a limited number of clinical trials either in first line or at relapse, and results of its use as single agent are limited to one paper (11-21).

In the present prospective study of Italian Sarcoma Group (ISG) and Associazione Italiana di Ematologia ed Oncologia Pediatrica (AIEOP), we evaluated the activity of two courses of CDDP as single agent as a front-line window therapy in a cohort of patients with primary disseminated ES at onset.

## **Materials and methods**

The ISG/AIEOP Very-High Risk-2 (VHR-2) study (Eudract 2005-002561-36) enrolled patients with primary disseminated ES at onset. All scientific/ethical committees of the involved institutions approved the protocol, and informed consent was obtained from adult patients or from the legal guardians. ISG/AIEOP VHR2 consisted of CDDP 120 mg/sqm delivered in continuous infusion for 48 hours every 3 weeks for 2 courses as front-line window therapy, followed by the intensive program previously described according to the ISG/SSG IV study (22). The primary aim of the VHR-2 study was the evaluation of the overall response rate after the 2 courses with CDDP according to the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumours 1.1 (RECIST) (23). Secondary end points included safety profile of the front-line window therapy, and outcome of the whole VHR-2 strategy measured as 2-year event-free survival and 2-year overall survival rates.

Eligibility criteria included histologically proven diagnosis of ES, presence of multiple skeletal metastases and/or visceral metastases at onset, with/without lung metastases. Initial evaluation included CT or MR of the primary tumor, TC99 scan, chest and abdominal CT scan, bilateral bone marrow aspirate and biopsy. Measurable targets according to the RECIST criteria were mandatory (23). After the 2 CDDP courses (week 6), a complete re-evaluation was performed, and the response was evaluated with RECIST criteria. Response rate was defined as the percentage of evaluable patients with complete response (CR) or partial response (PR). The histological diagnosis and the radiological evaluation before and after the front-line therapy were centrally reviewed. Full blood count and serum chemistry were performed before and after each course of therapy, and adverse events were graded according to the NCIC criteria Version 3.0 (24).

A Simon two-stage design was applied to assess the activity of this strategy with front-line CDDP therapy (25). Under the assumptions that objective response rate (ORR)  $\leq 8\%$  was considered unacceptable versus  $\geq 30\%$  ORR as acceptable and 10% types I and II error rates, 12 patients were to be treated in the first stage. At least 2 objective responses were required to enroll additional 13 patients in the second stage. By this design, the front-line window therapy would be considered ineffective if fewer than 4 responses were obtained for a cohort of 25 patients.

Event-free survival (EFS) was defined as the period from the start of chemotherapy to the most recent follow-up or tumor progression/recurrence or death from treatment-related complications or secondary malignancy. Overall survival (OS) was calculated from the start of chemotherapy to the most recent follow-up or death. Survival curves were calculated according to the Kaplan and Meier method and compared using the log-rank test.

## **Results**

In the study-period 2006-2008, 12 consecutive patients with primary disseminated ES at onset were enrolled in the first stage of the study. All patients received the 2 scheduled courses with CDDP and were eligible for response evaluation. Demographics are depicted in Table 1. Responses are described in Table 2. The response rate was 8%, since 1/12 obtained a PR. The characteristics of this patient have been previously described (26). Stable disease (SD) was observed in 9 (75%) and 2 patients had progressive disease (17%) after the second course CDDP.

The major toxicity of the two courses CDDP was hematological. Grade 3-4 neutropenia and grade 3-4 thrombocytopenia occurred after 37% and after 49% of courses, respectively. Platelet transfusions were given after 4 courses (2 patients-1 unit; 1 patient-2 units), while packed red cell transfusions were given after 5 courses in 4 patients (median: 2 units, range 1-4). Additional acute toxicities recorded were grade 3 nausea and grade 3 vomiting during 2 courses in 2 patients, and transient grade 1 hypokalemia during 3 courses (2 patients).

Two-year EFS probability was  $0.15 \pm 0.14$  and 2-year OS probability was  $0.22 \pm 0.12$ . The median time to disease progression/relapse with the ISG/AIEOP VHR-2 treatment plan was 11 months (range 1-35). Only one patient (pt #7) is long-term survivor, relapse-free 8 years after the end of the treatment (26).

Formattato: Inglese (Stati Uniti)

## Discussion

CDDP has synergistic effects with other agents in pre-clinical models in ES (27). After the first promising results obtained three decades ago as a single agent, CDDP was included in different phase II trials in relapsed ES (11-18). The responses rates ranged from 18% (cisplatin+etoposide) to 29-51% (CDDP + ifosfamide + etoposide). (11-18). In this setting, the activity of every single agent was jeopardized by the concomitant use of different drugs and, therefore, it was not possible to determine the contribution, if any, of CDDP to the response rate. However, despite an interesting response-rate, the survival probabilities with the combinations that included CDDP were not superior to other combinations not including CDDP, and were even comparable to the results obtained with oral etoposide alone (6-10,28,29).

Other platinum compounds were tested in resistant/ relapsed ES. Carboplatin is an analogue of cisplatin with less non-hematologic toxicity than the parent compound (30). Although single agent data in carboplatin was limited, combinations of carboplatin + etoposide and ifosfamide or cyclophosphamide have shown promise in relapsed paediatric sarcomas including also ES. These combinations resulted in a substantial response rate in previously treated patients, but with significant toxicity and short-time responses (16, 31-34). Oxaliplatin, a third generation platinum agent containing a DACH (1, 2 diaminocyclohexane) carrier ligand, was developed to provide a less toxic and more effective platinum compound (35). Despite a favourable toxicity profile, oxaliplatin administered as a single agent had limited activity in childhood relapsed or refractory solid tumors, including ES, where 1 stable disease and 9 progressions were observed in 10 evaluable patients (36).

The peculiarity of the present prospective study is the use of CDDP as single agent in a front-line setting deemed as the most appropriate to ascertain the real activity of this drug in this sarcoma. One objective response (8%) in the stage 1 cohort with 12 consecutive patients enrolled was observed, and therefore the statistical target of  $RR > 8\%$  was not achieved. For this reason, the accrual was stopped and CDDP as single-agent was not considered appealing for further evaluation. The 2-year EFS and 2-year OS probabilities obtained with ISG/AIEOP VHR-2 confirmed the lack of impact of the use of 2 courses CDDP on the outcome. These results are in fact comparable with those obtained with the previous AIEOP/ISG VHR1 study and with those reported in literature (1-6,37,38). Despite this negative result, one patient treated with CDDP obtained a partial response that traced the path for the achievement of a complete remission with the following treatment according to the Study Protocol (26).

In conclusion, the results obtained with the present study in a front-line setting confirm a limited activity of CDDP in the treatment of Ewing's sarcoma.



**Table 1. Patient characteristics at diagnosis**

| Pt # | Age | Site of the primary tumor | Bone metastases | Lung metastases | Bone marrow infiltration | Other metastatic sites    |
|------|-----|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1    | 7   | Vertebra                  | Yes             | Yes             | No                       | No                        |
| 2    | 14  | pelvis                    | Yes             | Yes             | No                       | No                        |
| 3    | 18  | pelvis                    | Yes             | No              | No                       | No                        |
| 4    | 21  | vertebra                  | No              | Yes             | No                       | Yes (liver)               |
| 5    | 36  | vertebra                  | Yes             | No              | No                       | No                        |
| 6    | 7   | Tibia                     | Yes             | Yes             | Yes                      | No                        |
| 7    | 10  | humerus                   | Yes             | No              | No                       | Yes (distant lymph nodes) |
| 8    | 10  | pelvis                    | Yes             | No              | No                       | Yes (distant lymph nodes) |
| 9    | 13  | vertebra                  | Yes             | No              | No                       | No                        |
| 10   | 12  | scapula                   | Yes             | No              | Yes                      | No                        |
| 11   | 14  | paravertebral , C7-D1     | Yes             | No              | No                       | No                        |
| 12   | 19  | femur                     | Yes             | Yes             | No                       | No                        |

Table 2. Response to the front-line therapy with CDDP according to RECIST Criteria

| Pt # | Site of the primary tumor | Bone metastases | Lung metastases | Other metastatic sites | Non target lesions | New lesions | Overall response |
|------|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------|------------------|
| 1    | SD                        | NE              | PD              |                        | PD                 | Yes         | PD               |
| 2    | SD                        | SD              | SD              |                        | SD                 | No          | SD               |
| 3    | SD                        | SD              |                 |                        |                    | No          | SD               |
| 4    | SD                        |                 | PR              | PD                     |                    | Yes         | PD               |
| 5    | SD                        | SD              |                 |                        |                    | No          | SD               |
| 6    | SD                        | SD              |                 |                        | SD                 | NE          | SD               |
| 7    | PR                        | PR              |                 | PR                     |                    | No          | PR               |
| 8    | PR                        | PD              |                 | PD                     | SD                 | No          | PD               |
| 9    | SD                        | SD              |                 |                        |                    | No          | SD               |
| 10   | SD                        | SD              |                 |                        | CR                 | No          | SD               |
| 11   | SD                        | SD              |                 |                        |                    | No          | SD               |
| 12   | PD                        | PD              | PD              |                        |                    | Yes         | PD               |

CR, complete remission; PR, partial remission; SD , stable disease; PD, progressive disease; NE, not evaluated



## References

- 1) Cotterill SJ, Ahrens S, Paulussen M, et al. Prognostic factors in Ewing's tumor of bone: analysis of 975 patients from the European Intergroup Cooperative Ewing's Sarcoma Study Group. *J Clin Oncol* 2000; 18: 3108-3114
- 2) PA Meyers, MD Krailo, M Ladany, et al. High-dose melphalan, etoposide, total-body irradiation, and autologous stem-cell reconstitution as consolidation therapy for high-risk Ewing's sarcoma does not improve prognosis. *J Clin oncol* 2001;11:2812-2820.
- 3) Ladenstein R, Pötschger U, Le Deley MC, Whelan J, Paulussen M, Oberlin O, van den Berg H, Dirksen U, Hjorth L, Michon J, Lewis I, Craft A, Jürgens H. Primary disseminated multifocal Ewing sarcoma: results of the Euro-EWING 99 trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010 Jul 10;28(20):3284-91.
- 4) Loschi S, Dufour C, Oberlin O, Goma G, Valteau-Couanet D, Gaspar N. Tandem high-dose chemotherapy strategy as first-line treatment of primary disseminated multifocal Ewing sarcomas in children, adolescents and young adults. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* 2015 Aug;50(8):1083-8.
- 5) Gaspar N, Hawkins DS, Dirksen U, Lewis IJ, Ferrari S, Le Deley MC, Kovar H, Grimer R, Whelan J, Claude L, Delattre O, Paulussen M, Picci P, Sundby Hall K, van den Berg H, Ladenstein R, Michon J, Hjorth L, Judson I, Luksch R, Bernstein ML, Marec-Bérard P, Brennan B, Craft AW, Womer RB, Juergens H, Oberlin O. Ewing Sarcoma: Current Management and Future Approaches Through Collaboration. *J Clin Oncol.* 2015 Sep 20;33(27):3036-46.
- 6) Bernstein ML, Devidas M, Lafreniere D, Souid AK, Meyers PA, Gebhardt M, Stine K, Nicholas R, Perlman EJ, Dubowy R, Wainer IW, Dickman PS, Link MP, Goorin A, Grier HE; Pediatric Oncology Group; Children's Cancer Group Phase II Study 9457; Children's Oncology Group. Intensive therapy with growth factor support for patients with Ewing tumor metastatic at diagnosis: Pediatric Oncology Group/Children's Cancer Group Phase II Study 9457--a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006 Jan 1;24(1):152-9.
- 7) Mascarenhas L, Felgenhauer JL, Bond MC, Villaluna D, Femino JD, Laack NN, Ranganathan S, Meyer J, Womer RB, Gorlick R, Krailo MD, Marina N. Pilot Study of Adding Vincristine, Topotecan, and Cyclophosphamide to Interval-Compressed Chemotherapy in Newly Diagnosed Patients With Localized EwingSarcoma: A Report From the Children's Oncology Group. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* 2016 Mar;63(3):493-8.
- 8) Morland B, Platt K, Whelan JS. A phase II window study of irinotecan (CPT-11) in high risk Ewing sarcoma: a Euro-E.W.I.N.G. study. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* 2014 Mar;61(3):442-5.
- 9) Wagner LM. Fifteen years of irinotecan therapy for pediatric sarcoma: where to next? *Clin Sarcoma Res.* 2015 Aug 28;5:20.
- 10) Meyers PA. Systemic therapy for osteosarcoma and Ewing sarcoma. *Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book.* 2015:e644-7.
- 11) Kamalakar P, Freeman AI, Higby DJ, et al. Clinical response and toxicity with cis-dichlorodiammineplatinum(II) in children. *Cancer Treat Rep.* 1977;61:835-9.
- 12) Owens C, Laurence V, Benboubker, Defashelles AS, Cupissol D, Rubie H, Brisse H, Rey A, Ollivier L, Couanet D, Baunin C, Ait-Ouckhatar CM, Oberlin O. Phase II study of cisplatin and oral VP16 in patients with refractory or relapsed Ewing sarcoma. *Cancer Chemother pharmacol* 2013; 71: 399-404.
- 13) Vietti TJ, Nitschke R, Starling KA, van Eys J. Evaluation of cis-dichlorodiammineplatinum(II) in children with advanced malignant diseases: Southwest Oncology Group Studies. *Cancer Treat Rep* 1979 Sep-Oct; 63(9-10):1611-4.

- 14) R Nitschke, KA Starling, T Vats, H Bryan. Cis-diamminedichloroplatinum (NSC-119875) in childhood malignancies: a Southwest Oncology Group study. *Med Pediatr Oncol*. 1978;4(2):127-32.
- 15) Baum ES, Gaynon P, Greenberg L, et al. Phase II trial cisplatin in refractory childhood cancer: Children's Cancer Study Group Report. *Cancer Treat Rep* 1981;65:815-22.
- 16) Van Malgedem AM, Benson C, Rutowski P, Blay JY, van den Berg H, Placzke J, Rasper M, Judson J, Juergens H, Dirksen U, Gelderblom H. Etoposide and Carbo-or Cisplatin combination therapy in refractory or relapsed Ewing sarcoma: a large retrospective study. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2015;62:40-44.
- 17) El Weishi A, Memon M, Raja M, et al. VIP (etoposide, ifosfamide, cisplatin) in adult patients with recurrent or refractory Ewing sarcoma family of tumors. *Am J Clin Oncol* 2004;27:529–534.
- 18) Jürgens H, Exner U, Köhl J, Ritter J, Treuner J, Weinelt P, Winkler K, Göbel U. High-dose ifosfamide with mesna uroprotection in Ewing's sarcoma. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol*. 1989;24 Suppl 1:S40-4.
- 19) Luksch R, Massimino M, Cefalo G, et al. Effects of recombinant human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor in an intensive treatment program for children with Ewing's sarcoma. *Haematologica*. 2001;86:753-60.
- 20) Elomaa I, Blomqvist CP, Saeter G, Akerman M, Stenwig E, Wiebe T, Björk O, Alvegård TA. Five-year results in Ewing's sarcoma. The Scandinavian Sarcoma Group experience with the SSG IX protocol. *Eur J Cancer*. 2000 May;36(7):875-80.
- 21) Matsumoto S, Kawaguchi N, Amino K, Manabe J, Furuya K, Isobe Y. Combination chemotherapy including cis-platinum in Ewing's sarcoma. *Gan To Kagaku Ryoho* 1987; 14: 1913-6.
- 22) Luksch R, Tienghi A, Hall KS, Fagioli F, Picci P, Barbieri E, Gandola L, Eriksson M, Ruggieri P, Daolio P, Lindholm P, Prete A, Bisogno G, Tamburini A, Grignani G, Abate ME, Podda M, Smeland S, Ferrari S. Primary metastatic Ewing's family tumors: results of the Italian Sarcoma Group and Scandinavian Sarcoma Group ISG/SSG IV Study including myeloablative chemotherapy and total-lung irradiation. *Ann Oncol*. 2012 Nov;23(11):2970-6.
- 23) Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J et al (2009) New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). *Eur J Cancer* 45:228–247.
- 24) Cancer Therapy Evaluation Program, Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, Version 3.0, DCTD, NCI, NIH, DHHS March 31, 2003 (<http://ctep.cancer.gov>), Publish Date: August 9, 2006.
- 25) Simon R. Optimal two-stage designs for phase II clinical trials. *Control Clin Trials* 1989;10:1–10.
- 26) Trizzino A, Ziino O, Parafioriti A, Podda M, Tropia S, Luksch R, D'Angelo P. Dramatic response to Cisplatin window therapy in a boy with advanced metastatic ewing sarcoma. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol*. 2013 Aug;35(6):478-81.
- 27) Hofbauer S, Hamilton G, Theyer G et al (1993) Insulin-like growth factor-I-dependent growth and in vitro chemosensitivity of Ewing's sarcoma and peripheral primitive neuroectodermal tumour cell lines. *Eur J Cancer* 29A(2):241–24.
- 28) Davidson A, Gowing R, Lowis S, Newell D, Lewis I, Dicks-Mireaux C, Pinkerton CR. Phase II study of 21 day schedule oral etoposide in children. New Agents Group of the United Kingdom Children's Cancer Study Group (UKCCSG). *Eur J Cancer*. 1997 Oct;33(11):1816-22.
- 29) Podda MG, Luksch R, Puma N, Gandola L, Morosi C, Terenziani M, Ferrari A, Casanova M, Spreafico F, Meazza C, Catania S, Schiavello E, Biassoni V, Chiaravalli S, Massimino M. Oral etoposide in relapsed or refractory Ewing sarcoma: a monoinstitutional experience in children and adolescents. *Tumori*. 2016 Feb 4;102(1):84-8.

- 30) Muggia FM. Overview of carboplatin: Replacing, complementing, and extending the therapeutic horizons of cisplatin. *Semin Oncol* 1989;16:7–13.
- 31) Ettinger LJ, Gaynon PS, Krailo MD, et al. A phase II study of carboplatin in children with recurrent or progressive solid tumors. A report from the Children's Cancer Group. *Cancer* 1994;73:1297–1301.
- 32) Cairo MS, Shen V, Krailo MD, et al. Prospective randomized trial between two doses of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor after ifosfamide, carboplatin, and etoposide in children with recurrent or refractory solid tumors: A children's cancer group report. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol* 2001;23:30–38.
- 33) Kung FH, Desai SJ, Dickerman JD, et al. Ifosfamide/carboplatin/ etoposide (ICE) for recurrent malignant solid tumors of childhood: A Pediatric Oncology Group Phase I/II study. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol* 1995;17:265–269.
- 34) Pratt CB, Luo X, Fang L, et al. Response of pediatric malignant solid tumors following ifosfamide or ifosfamide/carboplatin/etoposide. A single hospital experience. *Med Pediatr Oncol* 1996;27:145–148.
- 35) Raymond E, Faivre S, Woynarowski JM, et al. Oxaliplatin: Mechanism of action and antineoplastic activity. *Semin Oncol* 1998;25:4–12.
- 36) Beaty O 3rd, Berg S, Blaney S, Malogolowkin M, Krailo M, Knight R, Schaiquevich P, Stewart C, Chen Z, Nelson M, Voss S, Ivy SP, Adamson PC. A phase II trial and pharmacokinetic study of oxaliplatin in children with refractory solid tumors: a Children's Oncology Group study. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2010 Sep;55(3):440-5.
- 37) Burdach S, Meyer-Bahlburg A, Laws HJ, Haase R, van Kaik B, Metzner B, Wawer A, Finke R, Göbel U, Haerting J, Pape H, Gadner H, Dunst J, Juergens H. High-dose therapy for patients with primary multifocal and early relapsed Ewing's tumors: results of two consecutive regimens assessing the role of total-body irradiation. *J Clin Oncol* 2003 Aug 15;21(16):3072-8.
- 38) Luksch R, Grignani G, Fagioli F, Brach del Prever A, Podda M, Aliberti S, Casanova M, Prete A, Hanau G, Tamburini A, Allione P, Tienghi A, Ferrari S, Collini P, Marchianò A, Gandola L, Aglietta M, Madon E, Picci P, Fossati-Bellani F. Response to melphalan in up-front investigational window therapy for patients with metastatic Ewing's family tumours. *Eur J Cancer*. 2007 Mar;43(5):885-90.